3

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated February 4, 2005.

The Examiner has stated that the reply fails to point out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over the references in presenting arguments in support of new claims and amendments. In response to this point of view, the applicant would like to answer as follows:

The prior art of record fails to show or render obvious the central speed control mechanism for remote control cars as claimed, and particularly including having a housing and a cover and a gear set wherein the housing and the cover are connectable to each other and are respectively provided with corresponding grooves on an inner wall, tooth rim provided on a rim of the cover, at least a pivot each provided with a helical gear at an end and passing through both of the housing and the cover, and the gear set including a positioning block, a plurality of bevel gears and axles, axle holes being equally provided on a periphery of the positioning block for the axles to engage and position therein, a central hole with a cone surface being provided in the bevel gear, a front end of the axles being provided with a positioning pillar which forms a cone surface by degree, a rear end of the axle being in the form of the same shape as a groove on the inner wall of the housing for engagement purposes, expanding stairs being provided in an end the central hole of each bevel gear of the gear set for receiving an O-ring therein, such that when the bevel gear is drawn back due to centrifugal force, press on the O-ring generates a flexibility restoring force.

Further, a power of attorney is submitted as requested.

4

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Leang Oli Za.
Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

March 3, 2005